

No. 9/5/84/6Lab/592.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. R. D. Alloys, Udhamgarh-Chhachhrauli Road, Jagadhri (Ambala).

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA

Reference No. 35 of 1984

between

SHRI JANAK SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. R. D. ALLOYS,
UDHAMGARH-CHHACHHRAULI ROAD, JAGADHRI (AMBALA)

Present :—

Shri Surinder Sharma, for the Applicant.

Shri Subhash Bindra for the Respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—vide clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute between Shri Janak Singh, workman and the management of M/s. R. D. Alloys, Udhamgarh. Chhachhrauli Road, Jagadhri (Ambala). The terms of the reference are as under :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Jadu Raj, workman was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled to ?”

On receipt of Regd. Court notices Shri Surinder Sharma appeared for applicant and Shri Subhash Bindra for respondent.

To-day Shri Surinder Sharma, Legal representatives of the applicant made statement that whereabouts of the workman are not known, it shows that he is not interested to pursue the dispute, so he has withdrawn this dispute with the condition that as and when workman would be available he would be at a liberty to take any steps which ever he likes.

In view of the statement of counsel for workman the reference is dismissed as withdrawn with permission to file a fresh if the workman so likes. So I dispose of the matter in hand accordingly for the time being.

Dated, the 8th January, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endorsement No. 165, dated the 21st January, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab./594.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s R. P. Printers, Bazar Tanduran, Ambala City.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA

Reference No. 120 of 1984

between

SHRI RAM NATH SONI, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S R. P. PRINTERS,
BAZAR TANDURAN, AMBALA CITY

Present :—

None for applicant.

Shri Hari Lal Gupta, for the respondents.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—*vide* clause (d) of sub-section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between Shri Ram Nath Soni, workman and the management of Messrs R. P. Aggarwal Printers, Bazar Tanduran, Ambala City. The terms of the reference are as under :—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Nath Soni, workman, was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?"

This reference received by transfer from the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad under the orders of the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,

Briefly, narrated facts of the applicants are as under :

Workman Shri Ram Nath Soni alleged that he was employed by respondent on 2nd April, 1979 as a Foreman. He was retrenched on 9th December, 1982 illegally, unlawfully and with malafide intention. Notice of this application was issued to respondent. Shri Hori Lal Gupta appeared for respondent. Applicant/workman in spite of service made default for putting his appearance in court, so reference in question is dismissed in default.

Dated, the 10th January, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endst. No. 162, dated the 21st January, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala

No. 9/5/84-6Lab./595. —In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Rajpal, Jali Works, Railway Road, Karnal (Haryana) :—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V.P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, AMBALA

Reference No. 82 of 1984

SHRI UPENDER KUMAR, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S RAJPAL
JALI WORKS, RAILWAY ROAD, KARNAL (HARYANA).

Present:—

Shri V. K. Modi for applicant.

Shri J. B. Singh for the respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—*vide* clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute between Shri Upender Kumar, workman and the Management of Messrs Rajpal Jali Works, Railway Road, Karnal. The terms of the reference are as under:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Upender Kumar, workman was justified and in order ?
If not to what relief is he entitled to ?

Shri Upender Kumar, Workman alleged that he has been in the employment of Messrs Rajpal Jali Works, Railway Road, Karnal as a Machine Operator since May, 1980 @ Rs 250 P. M. His services were arbitrarily terminated on 30th May, 1983, while certain junior workers were kept in service. He requested the respondent to keep him in service but the respondent failed to do so. Notice was issued to respondent. Respondant appeared through Shri J. B. Singh, Shri V. K. Modi. was asked to file Authority Letter of Shri Upender Kumar in his favour or he should produce Shri Upender Kumar, workman in person, but he failed to do so, inspite affording many opportunities, even statement of claim was not filed. Accordingly Shri V. K. Modi was restrained from appearing on behalf of Shri Upender Kumar, workman without any Authority Letter. The reference in question is filed for the time being, If Shri Upender Kumar happens to appear and preferred to contest this dispute. He would be liberty to do so. At this stage the matter in question is disposed of accordingly.

Dated, the 9th January, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endst. No 161, dated Ambala City the 21st January. 1985.

Forwarded (Four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

The 2nd February, 1985

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/804.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Garg Associates Plot No. 246, Sector 24, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 508/1983

between

SHRI MOHINDER SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GARG
ASSOCIATED PLOT NO. 246, SECTOR-24, FARIDABAD

Present :

None.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between Shri Mohinder Singh, workman and the management of M/s Garg Associates Plot No. 246, Sector-24, Faridabad, to this Tribunal, for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohinder Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Notices were issued to both the parties. It may be mentioned that on the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of both parties even though they were represented previously and as such *ex parte* proceedings were ordered against them. It appears that both the parties are not interested in the reference. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated, the 29th January, 1985.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 95, dated 29th January, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.